(घ) दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण की विभिन्न आवास योजनाओं के अन्तर्गत पिछले शेष रहे बहुत से पंजीकृत व्यक्तियों तथा भूमि की सीमित उपलब्धता के कारण, इस अवस्था में यह बताना संभव नहीं है कि इन समितियों को कब तक भूमि आवंटित की जायेगी।

Fishing harbours in Maharashtra

*13. SHRI VISHWASRAO RAM RAO PATIL: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Maharashtra Government had recently submitted a number of proposals for clearance of Central Government for construction of fishing harbours;

(b) if so, since when and the details of the proposals pending for clearance; and

(c) by when these proposals are likely to be cleared?

THE DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER AND THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI DEVI LAL): (a) to (c) The position regarding active proposals on Fishery Harbours and Fish Landing Centres received from the Government of Maharashtra is as follows:—

Name of the Project	Present Position
I. *CONSTRUCTION OF FISHERY HARBOURS*	
1. Agardanda	A revised project report was received in April, 1989, as per which the estimated cost of fishery harbour is Rs. 26·39 crores. The integrated cost of the project, including fishing vessels and shore facility components was Rs. 90·35 crores. Since the report did not contain engineering details, cost estimates and drawings for fishery harbour, the State Government were advised in April, 1989 to furnish these details. reply from the State Government is awaited.
2. Satpati	A revised project report was received in April, 1989 as per which the fishery harbour component is estimated to cost Rs. 20·00 crores. The revised project report was incomplete as it did not contain the requisite drawings. The State Government were advised in May, 1989 to forward a consolidated project report with clearance of the Competent Authority from environmental angle. A reminder was sent to the State Government in September, 1989. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
3. Deogad	The proposal estimated to cost about Rs. 2·6 crores was received in January, 1986. The proposal was kept in abeyance then due to paucity of funds. The Government of Maharashtra were advised in September, 1989 to update the cost estimat The updated proposal is awaited from the State. Government.
II. *CONSTRUCTION OF FISH LANDING CENTRES*	
1. Agrao	The updated project proposal amounting to Rs. 66·48 lakhs was received from the State Government in March, 1989. Some clarifications on

Name of the Project	Present Position
	technical details were necessary to process the proposal. In order to expedite the processing of the proposal, the State Government were advised in May, 1989 to depute the concerned officer to discuss the proposal. A reminder was sent to the State Government in September, 1989. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
2. Sakhari Nate . .	The proposal estimated to cost Rs. 70.63 lakhs received in July, 1985 was found to be technical deficient. Clarifications regarding availability of land, etc., were sought from the State Government in October, 1985. The State Government were last reminded in September, 1989. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
3. Sarjekot	The proposal estimated to cost Rs. 30 lakhs was received in April, 1988. The processing of the proposal was kept pending as the Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India were formulating new policy guidelines to process the proposal for fish landing centres costing upto Rs. 100 lakhs. The guidelines were approved in July, 1989. State Government were requested to send an updated cost estimate, in September, 1989. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
4. Rajpuri	The proposal estimated to cost Rs. 20·88 lakhs was received from the State Government in February, 1988. This was also kept pending finalisation of the policy guidelines of the Government of India in respect of fish landing centres costing up to Rs. 100 lakhs. The State Government were reminded in September, 1989 to send the updated cost estimates. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
5. Trombay	The proposal estimated to cost Rs. 94.54 lakhs was received in May, 1988. The State Government were advised in July, 1988 and again in September, 1989 to forward an updated cost estimate. Reply from the State Government is awaited.
6. Karanja	The proposal estimated to cost Rs. 21·0 lakh was received in November, 1988. The State Government were advised in January, 1989 to depute an engineer to discuss the proposal. State Government were reminded in September, 1989. Reply from the State Government is awaited.

Metro Rail System in Delhi

*14. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY:
SHRI BEKAL UTASHI:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state the progress made so far in regard to the construction of the Metro rail transport system in Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): M/s. Rail India Technical and Economic Services Ltd. (RITES) have been entrusted by the Delhi Administration with the task of preparing a detailed Feasibility Report for a Mass Transit System for Delhi. The report will take into account recommendations of previous Com-